

RACCOON TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED

Main Office: 130 N COUNTRY CLUB RD, ADA OK 74820



OPEN LETTER: Nov 9th 2021 - 8:00 am CDT
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

TITLE: OBSERVATIONS OF DECLINE IN CONTENT STANDARDS

Our concern regarding content appropriate age and INTERSTATE COMMERCE has produced more evidence of STATE OF TEXAS organized racketeering activity on TWITCH INTERACTIVE than anticipated.

On the 9th day of the month of November in the year 2021, I looked back at a streamer who was just awarded partner status by TWITCH INTERACTIVE after exploiting a retarded man pissing himself on camera and an autistic streamer in the prior week. The same streamer had prior PARTNER status, interceded in a dispute between PARTNER "Destiny" and a female streamer, in which claims to demand conditional work and privacy the female victim silence her use of publicity and use of name in claims of a legal nature; and such claims in fraud confessed as sexual exploitation for favor by the prior party.

The whole episode reminded me of the line in "GREASE", which said "*did she put up a fight*" - a line referring to sexual abuse without consent or "Date Rape" according to some audiences.

When a commercial platform justifies such "sexual abuse by a streamer with PARTNER status" over a streamer (contractor, 1099m) with inferior status; and the community enjoins in harassment to abuse that party without legal right or permission to harm or disable their ability to enjoy ordinary publicity and income - such as character abuse (ad hominem) **and** to suggest their act of self-defense in equal right to counter fraud and false claims is a crime under sexual charges;

This conduct constitutes organized labor fraud themed OKLAHOMA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE XXIII-1A criminal violation of law on face.

Firstly, demands that persons not use the name of the abuser or other abusers in their own conversations or programming to defend themselves or answer such public releases in the same format and scope as the initial false claim are illegal.

While Federal anti-stalking laws prohibit contacting the party subject to such claim, or appearing in their chat or other forum or channel or by proxy of any kind making notice or contact to direct their attention OR THAT OF THEIR CUSTOMERS to claims in their place of business physically or on online accounts used by them or in the public view to solicit public attention of their customs outside of DIRECT COMMUNICATION and DIRECT STATEMENTS to the audience on the victim's own page and publications; the law **does not allow** the abuser to subject the victim to a suspension of their right to name their attacker or speak the name in context to activity and objective acts subject contest at law.

Secondly, there is a **legal distinction** between speaking to private matters such as sexual relationships with other persons and family and diagnosis of clinical medical conditions as-if-fact, from speaking of objective behavior which is themed abnormal and unlawful or symptomatic of serious dysfunction, fraud, or mental health defects - and in observation of specific acts which parallel or correlate with a direct observation of such conduct and right to imply a **bad faith** or **reasonable fear due abuse** *in acts* which justify suspension of tort (contract) and ordinary protections granted privacy.

Thirdly, all right to privacy in *any matter which the abuser raises as public question or false claim* are **void**, such as alleging no sexual images or communications were made when such evidence exists and gaslighting abuse or fraud disclaim its reality short of public demonstration. Privacy suggesting images or messages do not exist, when they do, void any liability for such disclosure once the ABUSER raises the question of *non compos mentis* and are not subject "revenge porn" or other suggested employment theory to prohibit public exposure of fraud in INTERSTATE COMMERCE by the party an immunity or ordinary "copyright" claim. The Internet is themed "ages 18 and up" by default, and any images disclosure in the forum during threat to disrupt earnings or incite violence on a person for fraud are entitled evidence in the same forum.

RACCOON TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED

Main Office: 130 N COUNTRY CLUB RD, ADA OK 74820



Fourthly - Where such persons who allege a right to "privacy" in name or images transmitted to a person directly are engaged in the industry of publicity themselves, using their own image and name and face or figure; no right to protection as if a "private party" exists when such access and forum of publicity is used to abuse, defame, defraud, or intimidate for commercial benefit or to cause injury through that medium to the "party" who discloses such content as "legal answer", and right to "legal answer in the same venue" a legal right initiated by the claims of the public defamation of character a reserved right to show cause and stop felony activity under color of commercial activity and INTERSTATE COMMERCE.

While such disputes, especially involving embarrassing photos, may fall under State and Federal laws - those laws are not made to create immunity for *any person engaged in publicity for profit* nor suggest a degree of broad claims that arise from abuse of access to the public in publicity through this *for profit* activity, shall grant the speaker from answer any protection excluding *false statements* and/or *false claims*.

If you are a W2 or 1099m contractor, you are a *commercially employed person* subject a degree of *PUBLIC FIGURE* status.

Where you use your *PUBLIC FIGURE* status to attack the character or right-to-work by mental health claims (gaslighting) expressly, as I observed on TWITCH INTERACTIVE tonight targeting a female prior cited in our report in previous report, that *PUBLIC FIGURE* standing is eligible all **information** which may prove or disprove your claim, including nude photos or images sent to solicit sex from a person under the guise of unequal power or abuse of position in a hostile workplace.

My professional advice would be **"DO NOT SEND NUDES TO OTHER PEOPLE"**, dot.

If the images were obtained without your permission, from your phone, through no act of your own or in taking from a compromised account, such claim might have standing. However, to accuse persons whom you SENT NUDES to, even an adult, of "*revenge porn*" for publishing your messages as-proof-of-sexual-solicitation in gaslighting and allegations such contact were imagined or solely of their fabrication a fraud; that **information in answer to legal contest against the reputation and character of the recipient (an inferior standing employee) would be entitled disclosure in the same format and venue as you made your claim(s).**

In the case of the **INTERNET**, that forum is online, without State or Federal boundaries, and perpetual in nature regardless of your intent or duration of your own public statement, VOD, or post or its retraction, deletion, or concealment.

In short, **DO NOT SEND NUDES TO SUBORDINATE CONTRACTORS** and expect some **RIGHT TO PRIVACY** as if you were engaged in non-commercial activity when it was done, after you bring the matter into focus in **PUBLIC COMMERCIAL STATEMENT OF A DISPUTE OR TO CONTROL THEIR COMMERCIAL USE OF THE MEDIA IN ANY IMAGINABLE WAY OR DIRECTION CONTRARY THEIR WILL.**

TWITCH INTERACTIVE INC. has deeply disappointed me by sustaining this **fraud** and **Interstate Racketeering Activity** among its PARTNERS this week. It is clear that neither "commercial standing" or other basic "1099m Contractor Rights and Duties" are evident in the parties receiving PARTNER status; and that conduct arises from TWITCH INTERACTIVE INC. staff and agencies including NOVO.TV performers.

My chief concern is the **quid pro quo** nature of these "content" performances, use of media from other persons without consent to harass and threaten and monetize in defamation, and incorporation of **demands** that such parties cease and desist or alter their public statements conditional the relief entitled to them against unauthorized publicity (Title 21, Oklahoma Statute) damaging their professional efforts and in the same **physical and commercial venue as they are already licensed to operate at the time of such demands, consistent with OKLAHOMA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE XXIII-1A violation.**

RACCOON TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED

Main Office: 130 N COUNTRY CLUB RD, ADA OK 74820



Prior, I have observed NOVO.TV parties read user email from minors on-air, read emails sent to them without privacy disclosures as content to abuse and exploit persons who are potentially 13-17 years of age, and refuse to allow former 1099m contractors to participate in work with other alleged 1099m contractors as a condition of "PARTNER" status; suggesting organized blacklisting to influence civil lawsuits and damage former PARTNERS and banned streamers across the Industry. Claims that such submissions are "read by assistants, other personnel, and agents" are not legal and support the consistent failure to comprehend or comply with basic customer data handling and exploitation of communication to harass and intimidate behavior in audiences while monetizing the sexual and vulgar statements in chats and ease of creating "alt" (unregistered, untrackable) accounts to evade bans - a function that drives up metrics for subscribers and viewership in concert with trial periods and automated account creation at AMAZON INC.

After my prior report, my European Union Director disclosed that a mutual friend had refused such "inflated metric offers", and been blacklisted in GERMANY and other venues in response to refusing to participate in the fraud for JUSTIN.TV.

I was also approached, and when I did not comply: I began to receive hostile and abusive "hate raids" and repetitious contact to buy services for false viewer statistics on TWITCH INTERACTIVE INC.

While these may be third-party commercial fraud activity, the suggestion that persons victimized sexually by TWITCH PARTNERS, for commercial exploitation and monetary "content" creation, followed November 9th 2021 by ongoing and sustained "video performance to exploit the media of the targeted person without their consent in cyberstalking and incitement of false criminal charge to silence their complaint and influence their public use of media" signal a serious issue across TWITCH INTERACTIVE SERVICES among "PARTNERS".

In researching the site, I came across Soviet Era propaganda being played that suggested further "people are not equal", and are not entitled to any "equality" under law or in treatment - alleging that how hard a person works or what their character by their activity to support the society or community in goals set by the society or community alone - should value their worth as a person.

I have never been so disgusted, or offended, as to hear national socialist (NAZI) propaganda as if legal fact, and its very performance consistent with a denigration of human rights and legal rights as to invalidate and destroy persons for claims of "bad character" or "dissent with the party or public". Not simply to disagree, but to destroy their suffrage and rights on face for failure to conform. Speech of that nature inspired the Holocaust, and is banned in GERMANY as "Volksverhetzung".

Yet in dealing with DALLAS, TEXAS based radical criminal elements embedded in the data center community there, strongly influenced by Ontario socialist "CORE" community of FreeBSD extremists, this sort of speech is actually regarded as "sane" and lawful. Why? Because when challenged STATE OF TEXAS has allowed those using it to escape justice, especially for theft of public benefits and benefits of the UNITED STATES paid to STATE OF TEXAS. This "mobsterism" among technology workers in DALLAS TEXAS, in concert with other parties in MICHIGAN and CALIFORNIA, backed by NATION OF JAPAN and PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA investment in those firms, appears to have born fruit.

We cannot endorse such fruit, as is this hostile workplace, and would suggest the United States salt the earth where it grows.

Sincerely,

RACCOON TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED

JAMES A. ALLEN, PRESIDENT

RACCOON TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED

Main Office: 130 N COUNTRY CLUB RD, ADA OK 74820



§21-1172. Obscene, threatening or harassing telecommunication or other electronic communications - Penalty.

A. It shall be unlawful for a person who, by means of a telecommunication or other electronic communication device, willfully either:

1. Makes any comment, request, suggestion, or proposal which is obscene, lewd, lascivious, filthy, or indecent;
2. Makes a telecommunication or other electronic communication with intent to terrify, intimidate or harass, or threaten to inflict injury or physical harm to any person or property of that person;
3. Makes a telecommunication or other electronic communication, whether or not conversation ensues, with intent to put the party called in fear of physical harm or death;
4. Makes a telecommunication or other electronic communication, whether or not conversation ensues, without disclosing the identity of the person making the call or communication and with intent to annoy, abuse, threaten, or harass any person at the called number;
5. Knowingly permits any telecommunication or other electronic communication under the control of the person to be used for any purpose prohibited by this section; and
6. In conspiracy or concerted action with other persons, makes repeated calls or electronic communications or simultaneous calls or electronic communications solely to harass any person at the called number(s).

B. As used in this section, "telecommunication" and "electronic communication" mean any type of telephonic, electronic or radio communications, or transmission of signs, signals, data, writings, images and sounds or intelligence of any nature by telephone, including cellular telephones, wire, cable, radio, electromagnetic, photoelectronic or photo-optical system or the creation, display, management, storage, processing, transmission or distribution of images, text, voice, video or data by wire, cable or wireless means, including the Internet. The term includes:

1. A communication initiated by electronic mail, instant message, network call, or facsimile machine; and
2. A communication made to a pager.

C. Use of a telephone or other electronic communications facility under this section shall include all use made of such a facility between the points of origin and reception. Any offense under this section is a continuing offense and shall be deemed to have been committed at either the place of origin or the place of reception.

D. Except as provided in subsection E of this section, any person who is convicted of the provisions of subsection A of this section, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

E. Any person who is convicted of a second offense under this section shall be guilty of a felony.

Added by Laws 1969, c. 233, § 1, emerg. eff. April 21, 1969. Amended by Laws 1986, c. 215, § 1, eff. Nov. 1, 1986; Laws 1993, c. 283, § 1, eff. Sept. 1, 1993; Laws 1997, c. 133, § 306, eff. July 1, 1999; Laws 2004, c. 275, § 5, eff. July 1, 2004; Laws 2005, c. 231, § 1, eff. Nov. 1, 2005.

NOTE: Laws 1998, 1st Ex. Sess., c. 2, § 23 amended the effective date of Laws 1997, c. 133, § 306 from July 1, 1998, to July 1, 1999.

§21-1173. Stalking - Penalties.

A. Any person who willfully, maliciously, and repeatedly follows or harasses another person in a manner that:

1. Would cause a reasonable person or a member of the immediate family of that person as defined in subsection F of this section to feel frightened, intimidated, threatened, harassed, or molested; and
2. Actually causes the person being followed or harassed to feel terrorized, frightened, intimidated, threatened, harassed, or molested,

shall, upon conviction, be guilty of the crime of stalking, which is a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment in a county jail for not more than one (1) year, or by a fine of not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00), or by both such fine and imprisonment.

B. Any person who violates the provisions of subsection A of this section when:

1. There is a permanent or temporary restraining order, a protective order, an emergency ex parte protective order, or an injunction in effect prohibiting the behavior described in subsection A of this section against the same party, when the person violating the provisions of subsection A of this section has actual notice of the issuance of such order or injunction;
2. Said person is on probation or parole, a condition of which prohibits the behavior described in subsection A of this section against the same party or under the conditions of a community or alternative punishment; or

RACCOON TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED

Main Office: 130 N COUNTRY CLUB RD, ADA OK 74820



3. Said person, within ten (10) years preceding the violation of subsection A of this section, completed the execution of sentence for a conviction of a crime involving the use or threat of violence against the same party, or against any member of the immediate family of such party,

shall, upon conviction, be guilty of a felony punishable by imprisonment in the custody of the Department of Corrections for a term not exceeding five (5) years, or by a fine of not more than Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500.00), or by both such fine and imprisonment.

C. Any person who:

1. Commits a second act of stalking within ten (10) years of the completion of sentence for a prior conviction of stalking; or
2. Has a prior conviction of stalking and, after being served with a protective order that prohibits contact with an individual, knowingly makes unconsented contact with the same individual,

shall, upon conviction, be guilty of a felony punishable by imprisonment in the custody of the Department of Corrections for a term not exceeding five (5) years, or by a fine of not less than Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500.00), or by both such fine and imprisonment.

D. Any person who commits an act of stalking within ten (10) years of the completion of execution of sentence for a prior conviction under subsection B or C of this section shall, upon conviction, be guilty of a felony punishable by imprisonment in the custody of the Department of Corrections for a term not exceeding ten (10) years, or by a fine of not less than Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00), or by both such fine and imprisonment.

E. Evidence that the defendant continued to engage in a course of conduct involving repeated unconsented contact, as defined in subsection F of this section, with the victim after having been requested by the victim to discontinue the same or any other form of unconsented contact, and to refrain from any further unconsented contact with the victim, shall give rise to a rebuttable presumption that the continuation of the course of conduct caused the victim to feel terrorized, frightened, intimidated, threatened, harassed, or molested.

F. For purposes of this section:

1. "Harasses" means a pattern or course of conduct directed toward another individual that includes, but is not limited to, repeated or continuing unconsented contact, that would cause a reasonable person to suffer emotional distress, and that actually causes emotional distress to the victim. Harassment shall include harassing or obscene phone calls as prohibited by Section 1172 of this title and conduct prohibited by Section 850 of this title. Harassment does not include constitutionally protected activity or conduct that serves a legitimate purpose;
2. "Course of conduct" means a pattern of conduct composed of a series of two or more separate acts over a period of time, however short, evidencing a continuity of purpose. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "course of conduct";
3. "Emotional distress" means significant mental suffering or distress that may, but does not necessarily require, medical or other professional treatment or counseling;
4. "Unconsented contact" means any contact with another individual that is initiated or continued without the consent of the individual, or in disregard of that individual's expressed desire that the contact be avoided or discontinued. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of unconsented contact. Unconsented contact includes but is not limited to any of the following:
 - a. following or appearing within the sight of that individual,
 - b. approaching or confronting that individual in a public place or on private property,
 - c. appearing at the workplace or residence of that individual,
 - d. entering onto or remaining on property owned, leased, or occupied by that individual,
 - e. contacting that individual by telephone,
 - f. sending mail or electronic communications to that individual, and
 - g. placing an object on, or delivering an object to, property owned, leased, or occupied by that individual; and

5. "Member of the immediate family", for the purposes of this section, means any spouse, parent, child, person related within the third degree of consanguinity or affinity or any other person who regularly resides in the household or who regularly resided in the household within the prior six (6) months.

Added by Laws 1992, c. 107, § 1, emerg. eff. June 4, 1992. Amended by Laws 1993, c. 64, § 1, emerg. eff. April 13, 1993; Laws 1997, c. 133, §

RACCOON TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED

Main Office: 130 N COUNTRY CLUB RD, ADA OK 74820



307, eff. July 1, 1999; Laws 1999, 1st Ex. Sess., c. 5, § 205, eff. July 1, 1999; Laws 2000, c. 370, § 14, eff. July 1, 2000; Laws 2015, c. 206, § 1, eff. Nov. 1, 2015.

NOTE: Laws 1992, c. 348, § 4 repealed the original effective date of Laws 1992, c. 107, § 1 (Sept. 1, 1992). A new emergency effective date of June 4, 1992, was given to that section by Laws 1992, c. 348, § 5.

NOTE: Laws 1998, 1st Ex.Sess., c. 2, § 23 amended the effective date of Laws 1997, c. 133, § 307 from July 1, 1998, to July 1, 1999.